

VARIANCE COMPONENT ESTIMATION: PROOF OF EQUIVALENCE
OF ALTERNATIVE METHOD 4 TO HENDERSON'S METHOD 3

S. R. Searle

Abstract

An alternative way of carrying out the generalized form of Henderson's Method 2 for estimating variance components is shown equivalent to Henderson's Method 3.

VARIANCE COMPONENT ESTIMATION: PROOF OF EQUIVALENCE
OF ALTERNATIVE METHOD 4 TO HENDERSON'S METHOD 3

S. R. Searle

Zelen, in the discussion of Searle (1968) states that alternative Method 4 given there is equivalent to Method 3. Proof of this is now given.

The model being considered is

$$y = \mu 1 + X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + X_3\beta_3 + X_4\beta_4 + e$$

We assume that $\beta_f = \beta_1$ and $\beta_r = (\beta_2 \ \beta_3 \ \beta_4)$ are the fixed and random effects respectively. Now in Method 3, the sum of squares for fitting β_3 alone would be

$$R(\beta_3)_3 = y'X_3(X_3'X_3)^{-1}X_3'y. \quad (1)$$

The alternative Method 4 is to apply Method 3 directly to z , where

$$z = Wy \quad (2)$$

$$W = I - X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f' \quad (3)$$

$$W = W' = W^2. \quad (4)$$

The model for z is

$$\begin{aligned} z &= WX_r\beta_r + We \\ &= WX_2\beta_2 + WX_3\beta_3 + WX_4\beta_4 + We.. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, applying (1) to this, we get, for fitting just β_3 alone
(i.e. reduced model $z = WX_3\beta_3 + We$)

$$\begin{aligned} R(\beta_3)_5 &= z'(WX_3)[(WX_3)'WX_3]^{-1}(WX_3)'z \\ &= z'WX_3(X_3'WX_3)^{-1}X_3'Wz \\ &= y'W^2X_3(X_3'WX_3)^{-1}X_3'W^2y \\ &= y'WX_3(X_3'WX_3)^{-1}X_3'Wy. \end{aligned}$$

To show that this is identical to applying generalized least squares to the model $z = WX_3\beta_3 + We$, we first recall generalized least squares for the general model

$$y = X\beta + e, \text{ with } \text{var}(e) = V.$$

The sum of squares is

$$R(\beta) = y'V^{-1}X(X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1}y.$$

The analogy with $z = WX_3\beta_3 + We$, where $\text{var}(We) = W$ is

$$\begin{aligned} R(\beta_3)_{\text{GLS}} &= z'W^{-1}(WX_3)[(WX_3)'W^{-1}WX_3]^{-1}(WX_3)'W^{-1}z \\ &= y'WW^{-1}WX_3(X_3'WW^{-1}WX_3)^{-1}X_3'WW^{-1}Wy \\ &= y'WX_3(X_3'WX_3)^{-1}X_3'Wy \\ &= R(\beta_3)_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the alternative Method 4 (Method 5) is equivalent to generalized least squares.

We now show that this Method is also equivalent to Method 3 applied to y . To do this we utilize, from (1)

$$R(\beta_f)_3 = y'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'y$$

$$R(\beta_f\beta_3)_3 = y' \begin{bmatrix} X_f & X_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_f'X_f & X_f'X_3 \\ X_3'X_f & X_3'X_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X_f' \\ X_3' \end{pmatrix} y$$

and so

$$R(\beta_3|\beta_f) = R(\beta_f\beta_3)_3 - R(\beta_f)_3$$

$$= y'(X_f \quad X_3) \left[\begin{pmatrix} X_f'X_f & X_f'X_3 \\ X_3'X_f & X_3'X_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} - \begin{pmatrix} (X_f'X_f)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} X_f' \\ X_3' \end{pmatrix} y$$

Write

$$Q = X_3'X_3 - X_3'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'X_3 = X_3'WX_3.$$

Then from the generalized inverse of a partitioned matrix

$$R(\beta_3|\beta_f) = y'(X_f \quad X_3) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} (X_f'X_f)^{-1} + (X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'X_3Q^{-1}X_3'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1} & -(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'X_3Q^{-1} \\ -Q^{-1}X_3'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1} & Q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X_f'X_f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} X_f' \\ X_3' \end{pmatrix} y$$

$$= y'(X_f \quad X_3) \begin{bmatrix} (X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'X_3 \\ -I \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_3'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1} & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_f' \\ X_3' \end{pmatrix} y$$

$$= y'[X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f'X_3 - X_3] Q^{-1} [X_3'X_f(X_f'X_f)^{-1}X_f' - X_3'] y$$

$$= y'(-W)X_3Q^{-1}X_3'(-W)y$$

$$= y'WX_3(X_3'WX_3)^{-1}X_3'Wy$$

$$= R(\beta_3)_5.$$

Hence Method 3, on z , gives $R(\beta_3)_5$ which is identical to Method 3 on y giving $R(\beta_3|\beta_f)$. This seems to suggest some kind of optimality for Method 3.

Reference

Searle, S. R. (1958) Another look at Henderson's methods of estimating variance components. Biometrics 24, December.